

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,307	06/26/2003	Jay McDonough	OC0215US	8038
27975 75	2590 03/21/2006		EXAMINER	
ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A. 1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE P.O. BOX 3791			SHAFER, RICKY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ORLANDO, F	L 32802-3791		2872	
				_

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/609,307	MCDONOUGH ET AL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ricky D. Shafer	2872
The MAILING DATE of this communi		ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare is reply within the set or extended period for reply. Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. Authory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become After the mailing date of this communication, even if	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 10 January 2006	
$r \equiv 1$	2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition	,	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the a	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-25</u> is/ard		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,26 and 27</u> are subject	to restriction and/or election require	ment.
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	·
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	S 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ior foreign priority and or or or or	, 110(a) (a) or (i).
	documents have been received.	
	documents have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified copies not	received.
	•	
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	·	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of 1 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/609,307

Art Unit: 2872

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- A). The patterned optical retarder being patterned according to a lenslet array, depicted by Fig. 1A; and
- B). The patterned optical retarder being patterned according to an image grid from a light pipe, depicted by Fig. 1D.

The species are independent or distinct because of their mutually exclusive details.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claims 1 and 11 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Charles E. Wards on 3/16/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,307

Art Unit: 2872

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricky D. Shafer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/609,307

Art Unit: 2872

Page 4

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RDS

March 16, 2006

RICKY D. SHAFE